

MINUTES

**Regular Meeting – Aurora City Council
Monday, April 20, 2020**

CALL TO ORDER – REGULAR MEETING

Mayor Coffman convened the regular meeting of City Council at 4:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

PRESIDING:	Mayor Coffman
COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:	Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo
COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT:	Hiltz
OFFICIALS PRESENT:	City Manager Twombly, City Attorney Brotzman, City Clerk Ruger
COUNCIL MEMBERS ARRIVING AFTER ROLL CALL:	None

City Clerk Ruger announced the proposed items for discussion at executive session.

CONSIDERATION TO RECESS FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion by Berzins, second by Coombs, to recess for executive session.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Abstaining: None

The executive session was recorded pursuant to the requirements of state law.

1. **RECONVENE REGULAR MEETING OF APRIL 20, 2020 AND CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Coffman reconvened the regular meeting of City Council at 7:40 p.m.

2. **ROLL CALL** Stephen Ruger, City Clerk

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:	Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo
--------------------------	---

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT:	Hiltz
-------------------------	-------

3. **MOMENT OF SILENCE**

Mayor Coffman called for a moment of silence for all those lost or who have suffered as a result of COVID-19.

4. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG** (all standing)

5. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

a. March 2, 2020

♦ *The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.*

Motion by Bergan, second by Coombs, to approve the minutes of the meeting of March 2, 2020 to the May 4, 2020 City Council meeting as presented.

Council Member Murillo referenced p. 15 of the minutes and requested a change to the section be made because they did not accurately reflect her comments.

Council Member Gruber asked for the actual text of the recording to be transcribed prior to the change being made.

Council Member Murillo asked if that was done in any other instance.

Mayor Coffman requested a legal opinion in that regard.

Stephen Ruger, City Clerk, stated Dan Brotzman, City Attorney, was online in the meeting but was having audio difficulties. He pointed out the rules of order for motions and suggested a motion to postpone was in order.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION

Motion by Gruber, second by Berzins, to delay approval of the minutes of the meeting of March 2, 2020 to the May 4, 2020 City Council meeting.

Council Member Coombs stated she would not support the motion because it was extremely problematic and disrespectful. She noted the motion to delay was essentially telling Council Member Murillo what she meant by comments she made in a public meeting.

Council Member Bergan recalled the occasion where the minutes approval was delayed due to potential changes to the minutes.

Council Member Murillo stated she would not support the motion nor did she support any attack on her character for being an unapologetic advocate for community members. She noted she rejected any attempt at a narrative that she did not support an industry just because she was a critic. She stated she had every right to be an advocate for her constituents and not make decisions with a blinder to one side and not the other and she felt that was what calling the item up would mean.

Council Member Gruber clarified the specific issue being discussed was not related to character and was instead an attempt to accurately reflect the discussion in the minutes. He recommended a comparison be made between the proposed changes and the transcript and then the changes could be made if they followed the transcript. He stated if not, he would not support changing the minutes based on a revisionist history.

Council Member Murillo stated that was her point; a revisionist history assumed intent. She addressed Council Member Gruber and stated that requesting the item be brought up demonstrated his bias. She pointed out an amendment to the minutes was just approved and the same procedure was not followed. She found it curious that Council was not being consistent when asking for those comparisons for 'history to be rewritten.'

Council Member Berzins addressed Council Member Murillo and stated her perspective that was not what anyone was stating. She stated she did not see a problem with getting a transcript because she felt confident Council Member Murillo, like she, would stand by her words. She used the example that if she stated two plus two was four then she would not change her statement to state she meant to say five. She confirmed no one was attacking Council Member Murillo and noted she personally could not change

- ◆ *The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.*

anything without seeing the original and confirmed it would not be a problem if the two were the same.

Council Member Murillo respectfully disagreed with Council Members' Gruber and Berzins comments and reiterated the City Council just approved another amendment she made to the meeting minutes and no one requested a factual side-by-side comparison. She stated she, and the public, had every right to question why that was so. She stated she stood by what she says and she was attempting to make efficiencies but noted City Council could also choose to continue to argue about why something should or should not be done or why they were not being consistent in their practices.

Council Member Bergan noted it was difficult because she understood intent to state versus what was stated and asked, in light of transparency, why not compare the two because there should not be an issue if they were very close, noting it did not have to be verbatim.

Council Member Murillo stated she was not willing to entertain Council Member Gruber's request as a legitimate request. She reiterated the City Council voted on the exact same thing and no arguments were made in that regard. She stated it was suspect to her because she and Council Member Gruber have been very vocal on opposing sides of this specific issue. She reiterated she would not entertain any conversation that attacked her character any longer especially when it pertained to her advocacy or her specific support of industry in her district. She addressed Council Member Gruber and stated he might have his reasons but that was how it appeared to her. She stated she wanted to be very clear that she expected consistency in this regard.

Council Member Gruber stated this was simply to ensure what was put in the minutes in this specific incidence matched what was stated and that there was not any revision.

Council Member Murillo stated revisionist history.

Council Member Marcano stated he understood the frustration and noted it appeared that some council members were playing games when the City Council had much more pressing items to discuss rather than attempting to re-litigate someone's intention. He requested the motion to table be dropped and the minutes be approved as amended.

VOTE ON SUBSTITUTE MOTION

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Gruber

Voting Nay: Coombs, Gardner, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Motion by Murillo, second by Marcano, to approve the minutes of the meeting of March 2, 2020, as amended.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Coombs, Gardner, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Voting Nay: Bergan, Berzins, Gruber

b. March 23, 2020

Motion by Gardner, second by Gruber, to approve the minutes of the meeting of March 23, 2020, as amended.

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

6. **CEREMONY**

None

7. **PUBLIC INVITED TO BE HEARD (non-agenda related issues only)**

None

8. **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

The agenda was adopted as presented.

9. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

General Business

- a. Consideration to AWARD A SINGLE SOURCE CONTRACT to Accurate Legal Support Services, LLC, Littleton, Colorado in the amount not-to-exceed \$63,216.66 for subpoena process service through November 30, 2020.
Presenter: Julie Heckman, Deputy City Attorney, City Attorney/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Gardner, second by Berzins, to approve item 9a.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- b. Consideration to AWARD A COMPETITIVELY BID CONTRACT to Garney Companies, Inc., Littleton, Colorado in the amount of \$2,742,131.00 for the 60-Inch Gun Club Rd. Pipeline from E. 6th Ave. to I-70 Project Phase 1, Project No. 5762A.
Presenter: Marshall Brown, General Manager of Aurora Water, Aurora Water/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Coombs, second by Marcano, to approve item 9b.

Marshall Brown, General Manager of Aurora Water, Aurora Water/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts, provided a brief summary of the item for the benefit of the public at the request of Council Member Coombs.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- c. Consideration to AWARD A COMPETITIVELY BID CONTRACT to K.R. Swerdfeger Construction, Inc., Pueblo West, Colorado in the amount of \$3,010,493.00 for the Havana 30 Inch Waterline Renewal Project, Project No. 5075A.
Presenter: Marshall Brown, General Manager of Aurora Water, Aurora Water/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Coombs, second by Marcano, to approve item 9c.

Marshall Brown, General Manager of Aurora Water, Aurora Water/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts, provided a brief summary of the item for the benefit of the public at the request of Council Member Coombs.

- ♦ *The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.*

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- d. Consideration to AWARD A COMPETITIVELY BID CONTRACT to RN Civil Construction, Centennial, Colorado in the amount of \$1,276,000.00 for the First Creek Lift Station Capacity Improvements Project, Project No. 5719A.

Presenter: Marshall Brown, General Manager of Aurora Water, Aurora Water/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Coombs, second by Marcano, to approve item 9d.

Marshall Brown, General Manager of Aurora Water, Aurora Water/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts, provided a brief summary of the item for the benefit of the public at the request of Council Member Coombs.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- e. Consideration to AWARD A SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT to Infor Public Sector Inc., Alpharetta, Georgia in the amount of \$224,702.52 for annual maintenance and support on the asset management software system for Public Works and Water through April 2021.

Presenter: Scott M Newman, Interim Chief of I.T., Information Technology/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Gardner, second by Berzins, to approve item 9e.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- f. Consideration to AWARD A SINGLE SOURCE CONTRACT to H&E Equipment Services, Henderson, Colorado in the amount of \$3,025,506.38 for the purchase of three (3) 2020 Emergency One pumper fire trucks and one (1) 2020 Emergency One aerial ladder truck.

Presenters: Ron Forrest, Fleet Manager, Public Works/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Coombs, second by Marcano, to approve item 9f.

Ron Forrest, Fleet Manager, Public Works/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts, provided a brief summary of the item for the benefit of the public at the request of Council Member Coombs.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- g. Consideration to EXTEND A COMPETITIVELY BID CONTRACT with Speedpro Imaging, Englewood, Colorado in the not-to-exceed amount of \$58,500.00 for the purchase of decals and installation services as required for City vehicles through January 31, 2021. (B-4383)

Presenters: Ron Forrest, Fleet Manager, Public Works/Bryn Fillinger, Mgr of Purchasing & Contracts

Motion by Gardner, second by Berzins, to approve item 9g.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marciano, Murillo

Ordinances for Final

- ◆ h. **2020-10**
Consideration to APPROVE AN ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, including certain property into the boundaries of the Citadel on Colfax Business Improvement District.
Presenter: Vinessa Irvin, Manager of Development Assistance

Motion by Berzins, second by Gruber, to approve item 9h.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marciano, Murillo

10. **RESOLUTIONS**

- ◆ a. **R2020-28**
Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, to approve an Intergovernmental Agreement with the State Historical Society for a grant to restore the neon sign and marquee at the fox theater.
Presenter: Drake Brownfield, Museum Specialist, Library & Cultural Services

Motion by Coombs, second by Murillo, to approve item 10a.

Council Member Coombs expressed appreciation to City staff for their efforts in this regard.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marciano, Murillo

- ◆ b. **R2020-29**
Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, to approve the Douglas County Emergency Response Mutual Aid Agreement.
Presenter: Commander Allen Robnett/Isabelle Evans, Assistant City Attorney II

Motion by Gruber, second by Bergan, to approve item 10b.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marciano, Murillo

- ◆ c. **R2020-30**
Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, to approve the Urban Area Security Initiative Intergovernmental Agreement.
Presenter: Fire Battalion Chief Matthew Chapman, Fire/Isabelle Evans, Assistant City Attorney II

Motion by Bergan, second by Gruber, to approve item 10c.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marciano, Murillo

- ◆ d. **R2020-31**

- ◆ *The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.*

Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, to approve the Intergovernmental Agreement for Automatic Aid between the City of Aurora and the Denver Fire Department.

Presenter: Commander Allen Robnett/Isabelle Evans, Assistant City Attorney II

Motion by Coombs, second by Lawson, to approve item 10d.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- ◆ e. **R2020-32**
Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, approving an Agreement between the City of Aurora, Colorado, and Majestic Commercenter II, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company regarding Roadway Construction and Cost Reimbursement.
Presenter: Victor Rachael, Deputy Director PW Engineering, Public Works/Brian Rulla, Assistant City Attorney

Motion by Gardner, second by Marcano, to approve item 10e.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- ◆ f. **R2020-33**
Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, requesting that Congress Approves Legislation Extending Economic Relief Help to Undocumented Immigrants affected by the current Health Crisis Related to the Covid-19. *(This item also appears on the April 6th Study Session) (Due to this item being dual listed, the backup is included in item 4a of the Study Session Packet.)*
Presenter: Hans Hernandez Perez, Assistant City Attorney II
Sponsor: Council Member Coombs

Motion by Coombs, second by Marcano, to approve item 10f.

Stephen Ruger, City Clerk, stated he would read public comments submitted to publiccomment@auroragov.org into the record. He noted he would read up to the first three minutes of the comment and then the comments would be attached to the minutes and also emailed to Council.

Sarah Kiley; Paul Gagliardi; Sara Sheiner; Forrest Dickinson; Travis McDonald; Ryan Ingram; Maximilian Popiel; Andrew Holcomb; Geoff Smith; Oscar Torres; Lorena Valenzuela; Kimberly Hill; Aaron Ensinger; Alex Galeana; and Greg Verzosa, spoke in support of the item.

Tom McIntosh; Cheryl Moore; and Ronda and Stephen Rudy, spoke in opposition to the item.

Council Member Coombs noted because concerns were raised previously regarding including this in the City's letter to Congress, she wanted to be very clear that the item related specifically to COVID-19. She acknowledged there were disagreements on City Council on the topic of immigration and stated her understanding that it was within the purview of the federal government to both reform immigration and to address the COVID crisis. She stated her belief that everyone was in agreement that those issues should be addressed by the federal government. She expressed her hope that Council could also agree that all frontline workers needed to have relief such as being able to take time off if they became ill or were in a high risk group in order to prevent the spread thereby overwhelming hospitals. She stated her understanding that everyone on Council was deeply concerned about making sure

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

the crisis was addressed responsibly, equitably and justly. She expressed her hope the City Council would join her in supporting the item to ask federal officials to take this action to ensure that we have no second class status creating problems within the City's systems during this crisis. She requested staff speak to the specifics of the item related to Individual Tax Identification Number (ITIN) and what type of benefits people would receive.

Hans Hernandez Perez, Assistant City Attorney II, did so. He explained the use of an ITIN for those who filed taxes but did not have a social security number. He noted that group of people were filing taxes but were not receiving any benefits at this time such as credits, refunds or crisis aid which were tied to social security. He pointed out the item would alert Congress to remember these workers who filed taxes and would encourage them to pass legislation that would extend protections to them. He clarified permanent residents and those with social security numbers would receive support

Council Member Marcano asked if the intent of the item, should it pass, was to include it with the letter being sent to the federal delegation.

Roberto Venegas, Deputy City Manager, confirmed the referenced priorities letter was sent the previous week and clarified the intent of the item was to draft a separate letter with reference to the priorities letter and include the resolution language if passed.

Council Member Marcano stated it would be redundant to offer an amendment to request the letter be sent specifically to the federal delegation.

Mr. Venegas concurred.

Council Member Gardner referenced resident comments that alluded to the inclusion of immigrants in the City's relief efforts. He clarified the item was not about Aurora giving money but rather related to the City Council telling Congress what they thought should be done with the next COVID relief bill by including certain residents. He pointed out significant resources were available in the community that did not relate to a person's immigration status. He referenced the City's federal priority list and the recent DACA resolution where he stated his support for the DACA program but not for the mechanism. He stated Congress had not done its job for decades on immigration which has created a continuous mess and this was one more example of that. He stated he would not support the item because there was a process in place to support federal legislation and he did not believe a resolution was the way to go about it.

Council Member Coombs clarified the item went through the process and was reviewed and recommended by the Pfizer Committee to go in the letter to Congress but was removed so this was merely putting something back in that went through the process.

Council Member Gardner pointed out that was disingenuous to state because a resolution was not required for City Council to add an item to the federal legislation list and Council has added many items to that list without a resolution.

Council Member Coombs concurred and pointed out it was also unusual to remove portions of what had been done in Pfizer outside of a study session and that was what was done. She pointed out it went outside of the normal channels and that was the reason she brought it forward.

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

Council Member Bergan echoed Council Member Gardner's comments regarding the number of resources in the City of Aurora that did not require information on citizenship status. She stated she did not like resolutions because they provided a false sense of security. She clarified those who were undocumented would think the City Council was helping them get a stimulus check and they were not because Congress held that authority to do so and the Aurora City Council did not. She pointed out it was also the law so she did not understand how City Council could support providing checks to those who were not US citizens. She stated there were small businesses that might not qualify for stimulus money either because they made over the threshold even though their net gain was much less which meant there were American citizens who would not receive the stimulus check. She stated her understanding of the concern for undocumented citizens but reiterated she did not feel asking the federal government to provide them with stimulus checks was the right way to go about it. She discussed how the recent rent relief resolution was misunderstood by people because there were those who were calling into the City to thank the City Council for their help with rent relief when that was not the case. She clarified the City Council passed a resolution requesting landlords provide rent relief and to not evict but people were still required to contact their landlords in that regard. She reiterated resolutions were confusing and misleading and she would not support the item.

Council Member Gruber stated he was not surprised at the number of Denver residents who provided comment on the issue because they seemed to have a large footprint in Aurora. He concurred with the statements related to support for DACA and green card holders. He pointed out his issues related to the fact that the people being discussed were in the United States illegally. He pointed out many of them worked in cash businesses and their income could not be tracked therefore it could not be proven whether or not they were paying their share of taxes. He pointed out additionally much of the federal money was designed to restart the economy and the money was typically transferred to the countries. He quoted Mexican President Andres Manuel Lopez Obrador where he requested his countrymen to continue to support their relatives back home.

Council Member Berzins asked if the item went to a second reading. Mayor Coffman answered no. Council Member Berzins stated resolutions were just feel-good items that meant nothing. She noted Council Member Coombs had a tender heart for immigrants as did she, noting she married an immigrant and they have five children together so she understood their plight. She did not support the item because passing a resolution was just pushing another piece of paper. She suggested the way to really help was to call those who were making the decisions and talk with them to let them know what was needed.

Council Member Marcano stated it was shameful and disheartening to hear council members state that resolutions and similar actions by the City Council meant nothing. He stated it meant a lot when the duly elected body that represented the people of the City took a formal stance on an issue. He agreed it meant a lot to write a letter to the federal delegations letting them know that they supported these ideas as a body and that they demanded action on those ideas. He discussed the impact City government was having currently on the state and federal level all over the country as more and more cities took action in the form of resolutions because there were many things negatively impacting their constituents that the City did not have jurisdiction over. He pointed out the impact of standing together to send out a strong message to those who had the power to make change. He suggested those council members who thought resolutions meant nothing should reflect on why they have voted for them over the last several years without raising these types of doubts and concerns as to their weight and meaning. He stated his strong support for the spirit of the resolution because this was a public health crisis and

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

immigration status should not matter. He noted there were people with ITINs who paid taxes and taxation without representation was problematic in many ways. He agreed with Council Member Gardner's comments regarding the state of the immigration system, noting he too was frustrated about that. He agreed also that while that could not be fixed with this resolution, he believed City Council could send a clear message to the federal delegation on the City Council's expectations.

Council Member Murillo stated her support for the resolution because while she would love the City Council to not rely solely on resolutions; they were a tool for them to use especially when working with other legislative bodies. She pointed out this was a global pandemic and health issues did not discriminate against statuses. She stated if City Council was being serious about being healthy, following science on how the virus was transmitted and what it meant in terms of staying home and social distancing, then they needed to be making decisions in that way. She stated therefore to respect and honor that, in addition to the moral reason that people need to be safe and have access to the same resources as human beings, she supported the motion.

Council Member Bergan addressed the resolution discussion by clarifying that previous resolutions were not generally policy changes specifically directed at the federal government. She noted many times they were passed to change a date or whatnot and were not the same type of resolutions the Council had been seeing recently.

Council Member Coombs stated there have been policy statements about immigration, construction defects and a number of other issues that were passed by previous councils.

Council Member Bergan clarified the construction defect issue specifically affected the City and was not a request of the federal government for anything.

Council Member Coombs stated it was a state government

Mayor Coffman stated resolutions were important because it was important for Aurora City Council to send a message to Congress about something that deeply impacted their community. He confirmed he was a strong proponent of immigration reform and the need to fix the broken immigration system, noting his support of previous resolutions related to DACA and Temporary Protective Status. He expressed concerns with the item because it did not address reform and therefore was not changing the system. He stated he would support a resolution that would be part of immigration reform that would resolve the problem. He raised the topic of an earned path to citizenship for recipients of DACA and Temporary Protective Status recipients because he believed it must be easier to come to America legally and harder to do so illegally. He stated his belief that people who lived in the US for a while that have broken no other laws other than immigration laws who were paying taxes with the ITID tax status ought to be given a renewable work permit to remain in the country legally but this resolution did not do that. He pointed out the resolution stated the City would not recognize the rule of law when it came to immigration policy in the United States therefore he would not support the item.

Voting Aye: Coombs, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Voting Nay: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Gardner, Gruber

Council Member Coombs noted City Council was told a study session discussion would be held on the issue because it was pulled off of the Pfizer letter to Congress. She asked if a

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

discussion would be held on what Pfizer proposed that council members outside of process were able to remove during a COVID briefing.

Mayor Coffman stated his understanding it could be brought back if it were revised in some manner.

Council Member Bergan asked Council Member Coombs if she wanted the item to be discussed in study session because it was her recollection that Council Member Coombs requested the item not be heard in study session and that it be moved to the formal agenda. Council Member Coombs stated City Council was supposed to have a separate discussion on what Pfizer put forth and that did not occur.

Council Member Gruber clarified the item was on the study session agenda as it was written therefore it was untrue to state it was not discussed at study session.

Council Member Coombs stated what Pfizer put forth was not discussed.

Council Member Lawson concurred. She referenced the COVID call where two items, which were supposed to be discussed separate from the resolution were removed from the letter, and were not.

Council Member Gruber agreed those on the COVID call did discuss removing the line. He stated after that was done, the resolution sponsors brought the item to study session for discussion. He noted the sponsor could have discussed whatever they chose but in the midst of the discussion the sponsor requested it be removed from study session to be taken to the formal agenda. He pointed out that did not happen as a full debate ensued. He reiterated the sponsor could have discussed anything they wanted with respect to the item but did not.

Council Member Lawson asked staff if two other items were removed from the Pfizer letter. Mr. Venegas answered affirmatively. Council Member Lawson asked Mr. Venegas to summarize those items. Mr. Venegas did so, noting they related to the ITIN which was included in the resolution put forth and the other item was a general statement related to provision of services for the immigrant and refugee population and was not specific to any particular program. He stated the item that remained in the federal delegation letter was the Medicaid eligibility for COVID testing. Council Member Lawson stated that spoke to Council Member Coombs' point that only one item was discussed when there were two that warranted discussion.

Mayor Coffman stated those discussions could take place outside the full Council meeting in terms of setting the agenda for future meetings.

Daniel Brotzman, City Attorney, confirmed the item would come back to the regular meeting because of the tie vote.

◆ g. **R2020-34**

Consideration to APPROVE A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, to approve the Intergovernmental Agreement between the Counties of Adams and Arapahoe, the Tri-County Health Department and the City regarding the shared responsibilities of operating the Aurora Emergency Respite Center. ***(This item also appears on the April 6th Study Session) (Due to this item being dual listed, the backup is included in item 3b of the Study Session Packet.)***

Presenter: Jessica Prosser, Mgr of Community Development, Neighborhood Svcs

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

Motion by Berzins, second by Coombs, to approve item 10g.

Council Member Gruber pointed out the item included an eviction clause that required the Aurora Police Department to evict people who violated their housing agreement.

Council Member Coombs stated her understanding was the people would be staying in a hotel without a lease therefore being asked to leave the hotel would not constitute an eviction.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

11. **ORDINANCES FOR INTRODUCTION**

- ◆ a. **2020-11**
Consideration of AN ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, amending Section 130-160, 130-161 and 130-196 of the City Code related to the Special Taxes on the sale of retail marijuana and retail marijuana products.
Presenter: Robin Peterson, Mgr of Marijuana Enforcement, Finance/Terri Velasquez, Director of Finance/Tim Joyce Assistant City Attorney II

Motion by Lawson, second by Marcano, to introduce item 11a.

Council Member Bergan asked staff to speak to the marijuana industries' response to the tax increase.

Robin Peterson, Mgr of Marijuana Enforcement, Finance, did so, noting most of the store owners were not in favor of the increase.

Council Member Bergan asked the reason behind their opposition considering they agreed to the 2 percent increase for homelessness funds previously. Ms. Peterson stated a few reasons were that they enjoyed being able to state they were lower than Denver, which she pointed out they still would be, they were concerned with the perceived relationship between what the money was being used for and that marijuana caused the problems and that they did not generally pass on increases to their customers.

Council Member Lawson stated she did not like to increase taxes generally and clarified this tax would not impact the entire City population as some have suggested. She stated it would be a good benefit to the City in terms of funding for nexus programs and nexus to law enforcement. She requested her colleagues support it.

Council Member Berzins stated she would not support the item because going back to the same successful businesses to get taxes was not what was done in a capitalist society. She questioned why liquor and home improvement businesses were not being taxed more.

Mayor Coffman pointed out those with this industry that bordered Aurora such as Denver were at 5.5 percent and Commerce City was at 7.5 percent and with this item, Aurora would go from 4 to 5 percent which made the industry competitive. He stated the importance of using caution with their messaging because he understood that the industry did not want the tax to be perceived as a punitive tax because the industry was causing those issues addressed in the nexus programs. He stated Aurora would not allege that in their messaging and pointed out these were valuable programs to Aurora and he urged City Council to support it.

Council Member Gardner stated there has been extensive discussion as to whether or not this was a tax increase on the business or on the consumers. He expressed concerns that

- ◆ *The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.*

City Council decided that it was okay to raise taxes on that subset of the community and he did not think that was the right approach. He discussed his struggle with the item, noting, these were important program. He wished the funding had not been tied to photo red light revenue because that was obviously a revenue generation program, and that a more robust funding conversation could have been had rather than deciding on a tax increase for one industry in the community. He expressed appreciation to Council Member Lawson for bringing the item forward as a way to fund these programs because they were important programs but he was not in favor of the mechanism and would therefore not vote to support it.

Council Member Bergan stated her understanding the photo red light generated approximately \$680k of revenue for nexus programming and asked where the additional money generated from the 1 percent increase would be spent.

Terri Velasquez, Director of Finance, stated how the fund would be spent was not a detail that was included in the item. She stated the idea was that should the tax generate \$1M then the City could go back to 2015 funding levels for nexus programs but pointed out it was ultimately up to City Council to determine how the funds were spent. Council Member Bergan asked if the balance could be spent on road maintenance. Ms. Velasquez stated it could if it were supported by Council.

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston pointed out staff has brought Council many different options to increase revenue for different programs in different areas and the fact of the matter was that Council barely passed the exemption for cigarettes last year. She noted fees and fines were explored in different areas and yet they were continually at a standstill and the funds needed were not being generated. She stated it might not be ideal to impact an industry in this manner but the alternative was there would be continual impact on public safety and other City services if the action were not approved therefore she would vote to support it.

Council Member Gruber stated he would not support the item. He agreed the City was significantly impacted with the removal of the red light camera as funding for important programs were lost. He disagreed this was not increasing taxes on the City of Aurora because taxes were being increased for anyone purchasing marijuana in Aurora. He expressed concerns related to the fact that the allocation of the funds was not determined. He pointed out there was already money in the City that could not be used optimally and that while he supported the nexus programs, he would not support the item.

Council Member Coombs stated she was irate with the disingenuousness of Council Member Gruber's comment.

Council Member Marcano addressed Council Member Gruber and asked if he could be trusted to fund the nexus programs if the item passed. He pointed out his question was in response to Council Member Gruber's comment that there was no guarantee where the funds would go. He stated it was Council's job to approve the increase and then to determine how the funds were appropriated and Council Member Gruber's comments called into question people's ability to trust Council Member Gruber because he saw that Council Member Lawson has been very upfront with her intent regarding how the funds would be used and he fully supported Council directing those funds in that direction. He addressed Council Member Bergan and agreed she had a good idea to use any excess for roads. He reiterated determining where the funds went was literally what City Council was duly elected to do. He stated for council members to disingenuously state they did not support the item because they did not trust where the funds would go was a reflection on them. He stated everything

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

was done upfront and on the record. He asked Council Member Lawson if that was her intent. Council Member Lawson answered affirmatively. Council Member Marcano expressed appreciation to Council Member Lawson for her efforts in this regard.

Council Member Gruber stated he fully supported the use of every penny for the nexus program and expressed his concern related to increasing taxes without identifying where the money was going. He stated he compared it to the homelessness program where there were excess revenues that the City did not know what to do with so they were considering supporting a \$260k shortfall because they did not have the freedom to better allocate the funds.

Council Member Bergan stated there would be a balance in this fund that could be used for roads but there was no guarantee this council would agree to use the balance towards road maintenance. She stated the tendency was to fund homelessness, arts and social service programs and not critical essential services that were supposed to be taken care of for City taxpayers. She noted it would help her make her decision if she knew the balance could be used specifically for that purpose. She expressed concerns that the City was not taking care of its priorities. She noted the county had Health and Human Services and the City did not have that component and yet the City has done a lot of good things for the community that were outside the purview of City responsibilities. She pointed out the current economic concerns resulting in loss of revenue and stated it was great to fund a lot of nice programs but asked what happened to being able to actually deliver what they were supposed to deliver.

Mayor Coffman clarified that he believed legally no legislative body could bind another legislative body unless it was within the provisions of the constitution or part of the City's charter.

Council Member Bergan stated she understood that and was not attempting to state otherwise. She noted she was pointing out that priorities Council has set forth were not being met.

Mayor Coffman stated through this process, he checked in with the marijuana retail store owners and assured them that he was committed to the competitiveness of their stores which was why the increase was staying below the adjacent jurisdictions that had retail marijuana.

Council Member Lawson addressed Council Members Bergan and Gruber and pointed out no one else has come with anything in the years they have served together on City Council. She noted she was attempting to save programs that benefitted the community and agreed they could discuss what those programs were but she felt they were opposed to the proposal because it was hers and they were saying no to her. She asked if they had a solution rather than condemning hers.

Council Member Bergan stated she was on the record stating she supported funding the nexus program and even suggested at study session that the 2 percent homelessness fund balance be used to do so.

Council Member Marcano addressed Council Member Bergan and stated the excess funds in the marijuana fund were not renewable and did not come in on a regular basis and the nexus program was paid for every year and that was why the increase was being requested. He addressed comments that were made regarding the excess marijuana funds being used

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

for something other than homelessness. He pointed out that has been in existence for a long time as a Council priority and that was why it existed so stating otherwise was disingenuous. He pointed out those funds were to be used to help Aurora's unhoused residents and doing so in the fashion they were was proactive and cheaper than doing nothing and having them use more emergency services and resources. He agreed the City did things that counties were usually responsible for and suggested a city and county discussion could be entertained moving forward once the COVID crisis was over.

Council Member Coombs clarified it appeared the City had not yet allocated any of the small business grant and loan program funds and while the funds might run out, she confirmed they were not out yet therefore those who applied were not out of luck.

Mr. Venegas concurred.

Council Member Gruber asked how many people have applied for the small business grant program thus far. Mr. Venegas stated approximately 900.

Voting Aye: Coombs, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Voting Nay: Bergan, Berzins, Gardner, Gruber

- ◆ b. **2020-12**
Consideration of AN ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, Amending Section 70-20 of the City Code of the City of Aurora, Colorado, for Designation of the Floodplain Administrator'
Presenter: Victor Rachael, Deputy Director PW Engineering

Motion by Gruber, second by Coombs, to introduce item 11b.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- ◆ c. **2020-13**
Consideration of AN ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION of the City Council of the City of Aurora, Colorado, Fireworks Ordinance 2017-08 Impact Review and Ordinance Amendment due to typographical error in section (b)(8) changing the date from June 13 to June 14.
Presenter: Deputy Fire Chief Caine Hills, Fire

Motion by Gardner, second by Johnston, to introduce item 11c.

Voting Aye: Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

12. **RECONSIDERATIONS AND CALL-UPS**

- ◆ a. RECONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2020-22 – Review of the powers granted to the City Manager by Resolution 2020-22. The powers granted to the City Manager which are set forth in the Disaster Declaration shall remain in place until a majority of Council votes to end the Declaration.
Staff Source: Jim Twombly, City Manager
Presenters: Matt Chapman, Fire Battalion Chief

Motion by Gruber, second by Gardner, to approve item 12a.

- ◆ *The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.*

Jim Twombly, City Manager, stated he was glad the item would be extended from the standpoint that as the governor began to ease some of the restrictions, the City was moving into the recovery phase as well and it might be necessary to exercise some of the disaster declaration powers to implement some reopening aspects.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston explained she was requesting a call-up of the Murphey Creek East application which was administratively approved recently because she received dozens of emails from Murphy Creek residents requesting a public hearing. She pointed out there were different outstanding issues and while some of the issues were addressed in the second submission, several overall concerns remained. She requested City Council's support in holding a public hearing on the item.

Mayor Coffman asked Mayor Pro Tem Johnston if she was requesting a vote by City Council. Mayor Pro Tem Johnston answered affirmatively, noting it was just to call-up the item to have a public hearing.

Council Member Bergan stated her understanding that new information was required to call-up an item and a public hearing request was not new information. She asked for clarification from staff.

Daniel Brotzman, City Attorney clarified there were a number of issues that would add information to the public hearing.

Council Member Bergan stated her understanding that new information about the application was required.

Mr. Brotzman confirmed the issues related by Mayor Pro Tem Johnston were sufficient for a call-up.

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston clarified this was a call-up and the requirements raised by Council Member Bergan related to a reconsideration, which were two different things. She reiterated she was calling up the item for a public hearing. She stated she checked with staff regarding notification so the item would be heard at a May meeting if it passed. She stated voting on the item did not mean the Council was taking a position in a public hearing; it was simply a request from constituents to engage in the process. She pointed out that was particularly important during this time when people were feeling less engagement.

Motion by Johnston, second by Murillo, to call-up the Murphy Creek East application to be heard at the May 18, 2020 City Council meeting as a public hearing.

Mayor Coffman asked staff if City Council would vote on the item after the public hearing. Mr. Brotzman stated the current motion was to set up the public hearing and Council would vote on the zoning itself after the public hearing. Mayor Coffman asked if that would happen irrespective of Mayor Pro Tem Johnston's request. Mr. Brotzman answered no. Mayor Coffman stated if the motion did not pass then the item would not come to Council.

Mr. Brotzman concurred, stating if there was not a majority of votes in favor of the public hearing then the administrative approval would stand.

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

Council Member Berzins stated it has been administratively approved.

Mayor Coffman concurred.

Council Member Bergan stated the item has been administratively approved which meant it met all of the zoning and code requirements. She stated her difficulty with the decision because while she would like to support Mayor Pro Tem Johnston for the call-up, she did not understand it because the applicant already did what they were legally required to do so she wondered what would change.

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston stated she wanted to remain on topic of the reason for the call-up and not get into the different details on what would be done for a reconsideration. She reiterated the reason was public interest. She further discussed the effort extended by many of the Murphy Creek residents to have public hearings and meetings on the item prior to the administrative approval. She stated only one meeting was held by the applicant in October and while the item was administratively approved because it met the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), it was also within the UDO realm that it was appropriate to call it up if there was interest in a public hearing.

Council Member Gruber stated he was struggling as well. He pointed out the item went through the process and he was not certain if was even in the same metro district therefore people who might be adjacent to the development that would not be a part of the development were not allowing the developer to exercise their rights that the City has approved for them to exercise. He discussed Aurora's bad reputation in that regard and noted the City Manager's efforts to remedy that. He stated this was another case where someone has gone through the process and then those outside the process were stating they did not want it to happen.

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston mentioned a few of the residents' concerns, such as a light in the pocket park, where nothing formal was provided to the residents and they were not rolled into the final application. She stated there were legitimate reasons and she was just asking that those conversations take place at a public hearing. She reiterated she was requesting the call-up due to the overwhelming amount of feedback she has received from her constituents since October. She reminded Council the different merits for support or opposition could be discussed at the public hearing.

Council Member Berzins stated she was struggling with people having issues since October and wondered if they reached out to the developer because she could not imagine a light here or there killing the project. She suggested the developer would talk with Mayor Pro Tem Johnston or to groups to work it out instead of calling the item up. She stated she could not see having the developer come to another meeting when the item has already been approved especially when time was money and it cost money and time to attend another meeting. She pointed out the City Planning Department was tough and she felt the issue could be solved without it being called up.

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston clarified she raised the light issue as one of many examples of the neighbors' concerns. She reiterated this was not just about a light; it was about neighbors who have some significant concerns and who wanted an opportunity, within their right, to have a public hearing. She pointed out one was not held beforehand and that was why one was needed. She stated there needed to be a balance between what the developer, the neighborhood and the City wanted and a public hearing was a way to hear all sides publicly and to sort it out.

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

Council Member Bergan stated the City has been dealing with the Murphy Creek/Lennar development for over a year and pointed out a public hearing was held and the application was changed to meet all requirements without any waivers. She reiterated she was having a hard time with the situation because the City kept giving the developer the runaround and this call-up would just continue to delay them. She referenced previous comments that this set a bad precedent for those developers that invested their money in Aurora that the City continued to delay. She stated for those reasons she could not support the item.

Voting Aye: Coombs, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

Voting Nay: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Gardner, Gruber

13. **GENERAL BUSINESS**

- a. Consideration to appoint (1) one member to the Art in Public Places Commission
Presenter: Stephen Ruger, City Clerk, General Management

Motion by Berzins, second by Coombs, to appoint Vanessa Frazier to the Art in Public Places Commission.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- b. Consideration to reappoint two (2) members to the Open Space Board
Presenter: Stephen Ruger, City Clerk, General Management

Motion by Gruber, second by Bergan, to appoint Suzanna Cress and Tom Isaacson to the Open Space Board.

Council Member Coombs expressed appreciation at the representation from Ward I and requested Council do what they could to garner representation from Wards 3 and 4 on the Open Space Board.

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

- c. Consideration to appoint two (2) members to the Citizens Advisory Committee on Housing and Community Development
Presenter: Stephen Ruger, City Clerk, General Management

Motion by Coombs, second by Bergan, to appoint Erica Howard and Demetra English to the Citizens' Advisory Committee on Housing and Community Development

Voting Aye: Mayor Coffman, Bergan, Berzins, Coombs, Gardner, Gruber, Johnston, Lawson, Marcano, Murillo

14. **REPORTS**

- a. Report by the Mayor

Mayor Coffman gave a shout-out to Friends of Saint Andrews for providing meals for the underserved population, noting they too would be a great location for a distribution center for the previously referenced meal program. He encouraged everyone to remain as safe as possible during this time and in doing so, to also support local restaurants through carry-out

and delivery as they were the hardest hit and would struggle the most with the ongoing social distancing requirements. He also encouraged everyone to support small local retail businesses as well as they too were suffering.

b. Reports by Council

Council Member Murillo announced the next Ward I Town Hall meeting was scheduled for Thursday, April 23, 2020 from 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. She stated the topic of discussion would be economic impacts of COVID and Omar Montgomery, President, NAACP-Aurora, would also be present. She noted it would be posted virtually on Webex, and listed platforms where the information was available and suggested anyone needing help accessing the meeting to contact her.

Council Member Berzins stated she was uncertain if the May Ward III meeting would be held and asked everyone to stay tuned. She stated she was an advocate for opening up as soon and as safely as possible because people needed jobs to care for their families and she asked everyone to stay safe and stay inside when possible.

Council Member Gardner reiterated the importance of availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for first responders and expressed appreciation to those home improvement stores in Aurora who donated PPE to Aurora Fire and Police, to those citizens who donated masks for him to deliver. He stated it was so great to see the community stepping up. He announced the Town Center of Aurora was hosting a food drive on behalf of the Salvation Army every day from noon to 4:00 p.m. through Friday, April 24, 2020. He reminded everyone that his COVID resource page was available and resources were added all the time.

Council Member Gruber expressed appreciation to all those who submitted applications for the City's grant program. He pointed out there were 900+ applicants and only \$1M in grant funding therefore the City would do their best to fulfill those grant requests. He stated the City would also continue to attempt to get additional money but noted money allocated to the state and Denver did not trickle down to Aurora. He announced an online metro district informational meeting would be held Thursday, April 30, 2020. He recommended those interested visit the various social media platforms for more information.

Council Member Bergan asked everyone to continue practicing safe social distancing and to take care of themselves. She expressed appreciation to High Point Church for their partnership with Mark Shaker's Colorado restaurants response to COVID in distributing meals every day, seven days a week, starting Thursday, April 23, 2020. She stated these were pre-packaged meals donated by local restaurants and noted they would also be reaching out to nursing homes in the area

Mayor Pro Tem Johnston invited everyone who was interested in attending to the Zoom meeting scheduled for Wednesday, April 22, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. to celebrate Earth Day with Dr. Deserai Crow and Congressman Jason Crow. She reiterated the metro district meeting mentioned by Council Member Gruber was scheduled for Thursday, April 30, 2020.

Council Member Coombs announced the Ward V Town Hall meeting scheduled for Tuesday, April 21, 2020 would be held online at 6:30 p.m. and noted that link was posted on the City website and her Facebook page. She stated Homelessness Services and Public Safety Services staff as well as Tri-County representatives would join in the meeting to provide resources and information and she would provide COVID-19 resources and updates as well.

- ◆ ***The City Charter prescribes the Mayor may vote on resolutions and ordinances only to create or break a tie vote of Council Members present. The Mayor Pro-Tem is always permitted to vote on all items.***

She expressed appreciation to the Colorado Muslim Community Center for agreeing to be a distribution location in Ward V for the meal program being put on by Mark Shaker and other non-profits.

Council Member Marcano expressed appreciation to everyone for doing their part to flatten the curve in Aurora. He stressed the City Council continued to take the situation seriously and noted the governor was considering putting procedures in place at the end of April. He announced the next Ward IV Town Hall meeting was scheduled for Thursday, May 21, 2020 and out of an abundance of caution, would take place on Webex. He noted the success of the previous online Ward IV meeting and stated it was available for review online. He asked everyone to stay safe.

15. **PUBLIC INVITED TO BE HEARD**

None

16. **ADJOURNMENT**

Mayor Coffman adjourned the regular meeting of City Council at 10:12 p.m.



MIKE COFFMAN, MAYOR

ATTEST:

